**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.4123 of 2007 (O&M)**
**Date of Decision: 28.05.2009**

HSEB and another .....Petitioners

Versus

Sukanya Chug and others ....Respondents

Present: Ms. Neena Madan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. G.S. Bajwa, Advocate
for the respondents.

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

-.-

**K. KANNAN J.(ORAL)**

1. The revision is filed against an order allowing an amendment application moved at the instance of the landlord. It is brought to my attention by learned counsel for the respondent that the rent petition itself is disposed of on merits and civil revision filed before this Court in C.R. No.478 of 2009 was disposed of at the stage of admission on 12.02.2009 recording the fact that the Electricity Board would vacate the premises within a period of six months and permitting the decree-holder to execute the order of eviction, if the tenant did not vacate.

2. The civil revision impugning the amendment has become infructuous and it is disposed of as such. No costs.

**(K. KANNAN)**
**JUDGE**

**May 28, 2009**
Pankaj*